

of Rule 26(b)(2).” *Rule 30, Advisory Committee Notes, 1993 Amendments.*

Plaintiffs’ only objection is based on the number of depositions. They have not raised any substantive objection. Because of the number of health care providers and the nature of plaintiffs’ alleged damages, the court finds it appropriate to allow the deposition.

Upon consideration and for good cause shown, Plaintiffs’ Motion to Quash Subpoena **(Doc. 151)** is **DENIED**. Pursuant to Rules 26(b)(2) and 30(a)(2)(A)(i), defendant is granted leave to depose Dr. McGregor.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATE: January 15, 2008.

s/ Clifford J. Proud
CLIFFORD J. PROUD
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE